1875.
(L.R.).

Fl. No. 14 of 1875
Serial. No. 1-7.

Sub: Remission of the irrecoverable assessment of Donekunte Kondenahalli, Mulbagal Taluk

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 14 of 1875

191

(Land Rev.)

Serial Nos.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Major **Part B for** Oct 1875

SUBJECT.

Remission of the irrecoverable assessment of Doreswamy Hosdevahalli, Mulabagal Tk.

Issued 12/

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Ac 384.

Letter No 89 of the 6th November 1875 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

With reference to a remark made by the Dy Comm.r of Kolar in the Statement of irrecoverable balances received with the Nandydroog Dy Comm.r's letter No. 111 of the 1st Oct.r last, that the Inam Deptt. have reduced the Assessment of the Survamanium village of Donekunté Kondenahally in the Mulbagal Taluk from Rs. 553. 8. 5 to Rs. 155/14/9, the Inam Superintendent was requested × to explain the circumstances under which the reduction was made.

2. In reply, Major Cole submits the original Register of the village, and states that Col: Puckle adopted the assessment given in the Sanad

× in Order No. 6157 of the 25th Oct. 1875 to the Collector of Kolar.

in preference to that entered in the accounts, apparently as the latter shewed a large sum as "Looksán".

3. The following facts are gathered on examination of the Duftur accounts concerning the village in question.

4. The village of Done = Kunté Kondenhalli was granted in the year Rowdri, 1800/1, by Dewan Poorniah for the support of the family of one Bissé Gowda who lost his life in the service of the State. In the Sunnud then granted, the Beriz or assessment of the village was shewn at P. F. V. 53. 5. 12; of this P. F. V. 8. 5. 12 were deducted on account of Jaree Inams, and the remainder, 45 Pagodas, confirmed to the grantee as Suwa Inam.

5. In the year Vibhava, 1808/9, the village was resumed by Govt., and the Inamdar

it will be observed that the assessment ultimately fixed for the village in question was 181.7.0 P.F.V. after deducting the value of the Jarvy Grams, and not 45 Pagodas as stated in Dewan Poorniah's original Sannad.

10. The entries in the Register received from the Grain Superintendent do not shew that the orders passed on the subject of this Gram subsequent to the year Bhava 1814/15 and referred to in paras 6 & 7 above, were brought to the notice of Colonel Puckle when he ~~confirmed~~ reduced the Beriz from Rs. 528.9.4 to Rs. 155/14/9.

11. It will be observed from para 8 of Colonel Puckle's remarks in the Register that Dirk

Rent has been calculated upon the Beriz @ 53. 6. 4 P. 7. V. or Rs. 155/14/9; but this amount includes the value of the Jaree Inams (8. 5. 12 P. 7. V.) which ought to have been deducted from the assets as stated in para 4 above. This may be pointed out to the Inam Superintendent.

12. The Takeed quoted in para 7 shews that what was entered in the accounts previous to 1830/31 as Looksán is land since brought under cultivation, and if such is the case, the Beriz mentioned in the Takeed should be entered as the valuation, and Quit Rent calculated upon it. Information on this point or the present state of the village is wanting in the Register. However, as the Inam Superintte's settlement is only ad-interim

and not final, it may not be disturbed until the Survey valuation is fixed and the Quit Rent calculated upon it. Till then, the Beiz of the village as fixed by the Inam Superintendent may be adopted for purposes of the Local Fund Cess, and the balance of Rs. 13. 2. 5 × forming the subject of this reference may be written off to profit and loss accou

× Vide item No 8 in the Statt: recd. with Govt's No. 111 of the 1st Oct. 75.

B Saunders
2/12/76

This is a notable instance of the vicissitudes of an Inam in the Old days of Native Govt:

An order may be drafted in the sense of the above Memo, stating the facts as stated from Para 4 &c to Seq. by BP

Approved HD 4/12

Drafted

28.

Memo.

Letter No: 1110/1 dt October 1875 from the Commissioner Kandy-Arogya Division.

Please see the remarks I have entered in the State-ment received with the above.

2. Increases Nos: 1, 3, 4, 5 & 6 the arrears amounting to Rs: 172-10-3 may be remitted.

Yes

3. May a report be called for in case No: 2 as the point raised is somewhat important and requires Chief Commissioner's orders

Yes

4. May the Kam Superintendent be requested to ~~report~~ submit the circumstances under which the value of the Kani in case No: 8 was reduced from Rs: 553-8-5 to Rs: 155-14-9 and to submit the Registers of the village?

Yes

Orders drafted 19/10/75.

18/10/75

No 297.

Memo

Letter No 830 dated 22d June 1876 from the Survey Settlement Commissioner of Mysore. — and docket No 69 dated 6th July 1876 from the Commr of the Nandidroog Divn

The Commissioner of the Nandidroog Division submitted for sanction with his docket No 111 dated 1st October 1875, a statement shewing the amounts for which remissions were recommended on account of arrears of revenue for 1874-75 in the Kolar Dist

2. The second item in this statement was a sum of Rs 0-13-9 on Account

account of the half annual cess charged for the first time in the accounts of the Goribidanoor Taluk of the Kolar District on the Nirsaradi (water cess) amount of Rs 27-5-6 due to the Doddakurragodu village of the Kolar Dist. from the Chickakorragodu village of the Maddagire Taluk of the Tumkur Dist.

3. The Commissioner Nandidroog Division and the Survey Commissioner who were called upon to give their opinion on the question have submitted the reports noted in the margin.

4. From the Commissioner's letter

* It appears from the Expenditure before that the local cess of Rs 0-13-9 was charged [illegible] for the previous year (1873-74) but the payment was made [illegible]

* No 136 of 11 Nov 1875

⊗ No 830 of 22nd June 1876.

letter and the Canarese correspondence submitted with it, it appears that the Tumkur District Dy. Commr refused to pay the local cess claimed on the ground that it was not customary and that no similar cess was levied by him on the water cess collected from the Kolar District or from the Hindupur Taluk in H. Majesty's Territory for water supplied from his District -

5 The Commissioner is of opinion that the Tumkur District should pay the amount in question to the Kolar District -

6. Major Grant states that

× It is said that the Gooribidanur Taluk pays to the Madras Govt Taluk a sum of Rs 10-2-11 as water cess, but does not pay any local cess to the Kolar Taluk on that sum.

that the amount of Water Cess is credited in the accounts of the Goribidanur Taluk, and so long as this is the case the Local Cess must also be paid into that Taluk. This difficulty would, Major Grant remarks, disappear with the Survey & Settlement, as a permanent assessment has been placed upon lands which paid the Nirsaradi now in question, and which will cease to be credited to the Kolar District.

7. It appears to me that the defences set up by the Inamdar District as noticed in para 4 above, is a reasonable one.

The

The Chief Commissioner's
order No. 5188/148 dated 13-12-7
abolishing the local cess
levied by the Mysore
Government on certain
lands belonging to it
~~Government~~ and situated in the
the Kodikonda village of the
Hindupur Taluk of the
Bellary District, and Sir
Richard Meade's Minute
-script remarks of 19th
June 1874, on Dafter Inam
No. 183, dated 16th June,
permitting the local
cess on certain lands
belonging to the Mysore
Government situated in
the Yerrnegunur village
of the Kodi Taluk of the
Dharwar Collectorate,

to

to be collected by the
Bombay authorities,
seems to point to the
conclusion that the
local cess levied on lands
must be credited to and
used for the benefit of
the District in which
such lands are situated.
I am of opinion therefore
that the Sunkur Dist.
need not pay the amt
of local cess claimed by
the Kolar District, and
that the amount may
be written off as irre-
coverable in the accounts
of the Goribidanur Taluk
as suggested by the
Deputy Commissioner

in

year 1876/77, but the latter Collector informed him that he was unable to remit the money as the consolidated assessment inclusive of the water-rate fixed upon the land under the Survey Settlt was credited to the Kuragadu village in the Gudgiri Taluk.

To Commr No 1729/496 dt 11.9.77

11 The Dy Commr states that the above new arrangement is no doubt good as far as Govt interests go, though it can only be carried out where the villages concerned are all Govt ones belonging to the same Territories and where the servants of these villages are the same persons, but that it is difficult to apply the principle where one of the villages concerned happens to be either an Inam village or to belong

to a different Territory,
or when the village ser-
vants are different per-
sons, "because we have
"to levy or pay water-cess
"if we give to or use the
"water of an Inam village
"or a village of a different
"territory and because where
"the village servants are
"different persons, those
"belonging to the village the
"water of whose lands is
"used will lose their Inams
"if the Consolidated assess-
"ment is credited to the
village to which the land
belongs." The Dy Comm^r
states that he pointed out
this difficulty to the Supdt
of Rev Survey, but that
has not been solved
by him.

12. The Comm^r forwards
Copy of the Dy Comm^r's letter
and requests the Chf Comm^r's
ruling on the
point raised by
Mr

No 131 of 1.10.77

Mr Christiensz in
connection with the
subject referred to
in C.C. proceedings
No 4379/78 of 27th July last.

13. I think the
question may be
referred to the Survey
Settlement Commr
for opinion, before
final orders are
passed

C.P. Plumbood Q
18/10/79

Is the Pentacotta case
such as mentioned in
Mr Ward's letter? Can
case pulled by the Dy Govt
Agent? If not, there
seems no necessity to

Ag G/Sec

Kuragoda q Maddagoda
7 M. and Chulk Kuragoda q
Gorakadeniya 9 M. are both
Govt villages - If the village
servants of both wish
settlement / which is not

stated) the hypothetical case put by the Dy Com would apply.

R. Plowden Esq

22/10/77

Ask the Comr whether the Appeal expenses of the Dep Comr has arisen in the particular case of the Kangod & Chikkanayakanhalli Villages. If the Villages servants are not the same in both Villages, the Inquiries in the case of the Dep Com can lay a claim to be remunerated by Fees or Equivalent. The Dep Comr alone has to decide, subject to Govt sanction, what the value of his remuneration shall be. If the Walls of the Chikkanayakanhalli Tank & Weir supplies the Lands of the Kangod Tank, the Village Servants have to perform duty in the Inquiries of that Village &c 23/10

Approved

23.10.77

Secy (24)

Mr. Stokes.

A-354

Revd 22 Oct 77

Recd 23 Oct 77

Ansd 22 Oct 77

were getting some murass in grain from the villagers of Dodakurugodoo in connection with this channel water but as the fact was denied by the people of Doddakurugodoo and no satisfactory evidence was adduced by the officials of Chikkakarugodoo in support of their allegation the Dy Commissioner dismissed their claim.

No 255 D 22-2-78.

16. The Commissioner of Kunigal forwards copy of the above letter to this office and observes that the decision arrived at by the Dy Commr after further enquiry disposes of the matter at issue.

17. The present letter may be simply recorded.

Bl Thimmaya Gs

28/2/78

Gs

1-3-78

Received — No. 111

1875.

From The Commissioner
Sundarbans Division

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 1st Oct. 1875.

Despatched

Received 7/10

Regd.

ENCLOSURES NO 1

General No. 111 } 1875—76.
Pub. No.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a Statement of irrecoverable balance amounting to Rupees 186-10-5 in the Kolar District and to request, that under the circumstances represented by the Dy. Commissioner you will be so good as to obtain the Chief Commissioner's sanction to the remission as recommended.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Commissioner.

To
Ed Register
7-10-75

order drafted
19/10/75.

| | | |

Statement showing the amounts for which remissions are recommended on account of arrears of Revenue due for the year 1874-75 in the Kolar District of the Mundgod Division.

Division	Talluk	Hobli	Village	Name of defaulter	Year to which the balance is due.	Amount of Kandayam.	Amount already recovered. Realized by Sale of Moveable property	Realized by Sale of Immoveable property	Total	Balance finally remitted or recommended.	Grounds of remissions.	Remarks of the Commissioner.	Orders of the Chief Commr.
1	Shirsi Division	Mel-Nadu	Amanikere	Murad Sahib	1874-75	24 8 8				24 8 8	(1) The land is lying waste and no body has bid for it when put up to public auction. So has therefore been brought in for Government as a nominal price. The defaulter who possesses neither moveable or immoveable property has absconded. There is no security.	Recommended	
	Gotabeduru	Gowdas	Chikkapura & Doddapura	"	"	12 " 13 9				12 " 13 9	(2) This is the Kalkamma cess charged for the first time in the accounts of the Gotabeduru Talluk on the "Kasardi" (water cess) amounting to Rs 27-5-6 due to Chikka Kasarapoda village of this District from the Hoddu Kasarapoda village of Mundgod Talluk of the Sunkar District. The Deputy Commissioner of Sunkar District has refused to pay the amount on the ground that it is not	Amount Rs 12 Annas 13 & Pies 9 should be paid by the Commissioner of Sunkar	
2	Sirsi Division	Kyasanur	Kendankalli	Durgappa Siddappa	"	56 7 4				56 7 4			

I
I22

Revenue

1875.

No 6138
85

From

To

The Commissioner of the
Nandidroog Division

Dated 26th October

Despatched

Received

ENCLOSURES NO

General No. 6138
No. 85

With reference to his No.
1109 1st Inst. conveys sanction
to the remission of arrears
in certain items of the Kolarlot
and requests to submit a
report on item No 2.

From the Secretary of the Bombay Government
to Commissioner Nandidroog
Revenue Department
No 1360
dated 15th November 1875

Letter No: 111 of 1st October 1875
from the Commissioner of the
Sunderdroog division with
a statement of irrecoverable
balance of Revenue of the
Kolar District.

Order.

No 6138 of 2 Dec 1875
R 85

The Offg: Chief Commissioner
is pleased to sanction
the remission of arrears
in Item Nos: 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7
amounting to Rs: 172-10-3

2. The Commissioner will
in the circumstances explained to
submit a report in
Item No: 2 as the point raised
is an important one

3. The Superintendent
of the Inam Settlement
will report the circumstances
under which the value
of Doneikunte Kondenhalli
Inam village in the
Gulbargul taluk (Item No 8)
was reduced from
Rs: 553-8-5 to Rs: 155-14-9

I myself ~~submitting~~ at the same time the Registers of the village.

Secretary

To, the Commissioner Nundydroog Dr —

To, the Superintendent of the Mann settlement

To, the Deputy Accountant Seul —

I
I 18
922

2

No. 88

1875.

From

The Superintendent of the Inam Settlement.

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner, General Department.

Section I R
File No 15 — 1875
Serial No 3

Dated 6th 1875.
Despatched 11th November
Received 11 Dec. 12th

ENCLOSURES NO. 1

General No. 89 } 1875-76
No.

As requested in No. 6138/85 of the 30th ultimo forwards the Register of the village of Donona goonte, Kondena-halli in the Mulbagal Taluk in original with certain remarks.

To
Fin
11-1-75

No 89

Inam Settlement Office.
Bangalore 6th November 1875

To

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner
of Mysore

Sir,

As requested in your letter No 6738/85 of the 26th ultimo, I have the honor to transmit herewith the original register of the village of Donanagoontay Kondenahully of the Moolavagalu Taluk, and to state that, from para 5 of Column 20 of the Register, it would appear that Colonel Puckle adopted the assessment given in the Lannad in preference to that in the accounts; apparently as the latter showed a large sum as "Looksan".

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt Servt

Supt. of Inam Setts

Revenue.

1875.

No. 135.

From The Commissioner
Muddyabaughtee

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 11th Novr

Despatched

Received 13

Regd 15th "

ENCLOSURES NO. 1 folio

General No. 136 } 1875—76.
No.

From Chief Commrs Pro: No 6138/85
of 26th Octr 1875.

Requests the Chief Commrs ruling on certain points in connection with the levy of local cess on the amount of Inamdarthi—

To Secretary.

13-11-75

From Secretary to Chief Commr No 1830

J 22.

Received in the Commissioner's Office Muddyabaughtee

Dy Secretary to Chief Commissioner, General Department 14 — 75

by the under Secretary to Govt

of the Revenue Department

Bombay Castle

Bombay the Chief Commissioner

are liable to the payment of the cess in question — Inamdarthi is the hereditary cess and is a part and portion of the Government assessment upon such lands —

Revenue Dy

Refers to the Survey Settlement Commissioner (the papers of Ognum)

By order

29 May 76.

Secretary to Govt

Revenue 7/11/75

2

No. R 136

Kundypoor Division
Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 11th Nov. 1875.

To
The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 6138/R-85 of the 26th Ultimo, I have the honor to state, that from the report of the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District and the Canarese correspondence that passed between that Officer and the Deputy Commissioner of the